Maui Community College Curriculum Action Request (CAR) Form Course

l.	Author(s): Bud Clark	For Banner use:
2.	Department: Humanities	SCACRSE SCAPREQ
3.	Date submitted to Curriculum Committee: April 1, 2008	CAPPs WebCT-Detl
4.	Type of action Addition Modification	CoReq-DetlEquiv-DetlOld InactivatedCrosslist doneAnother prereq
5.	Existing course Alpha & number none Title	Credits
6.	Proposed new/modified course Alpha & number PHIL 109 Title Reasoning and Critical Thinking	Credits 3
7.	Reason for this curriculum action Strengthen critical thinking in students and support current and new programs	
8.	New course description (or catalog page of current course description, if unchanged) Studies informal logic, practical reasoning, argument, and the use and misuse of language. E development of critical thinking skills.	mphasizes the
9.	Prerequisite(s) – see Prerequisite Style Sheet for samples ; or consent. ⊠ no □ yes	
10.	Corequisite(s): none	
11.	Recommended preparation: ENG 100 and ENG 21 or 102	
12.	Cross listed: In no yes; cite course alpha & number	
	Student contact hours per week: 3 hr. lecture hr. lab hr. lecture/lab hr. other; explain:	
14.	Grading: Standard (Letter, Credit/NoCredit, and Audit options) Letter grade only Credit/NoCredit only Not for au	ıdit
15.	Repeatable for credit? 🛛 no 🗌 yes; maximum is credit or 🔲 unlimited.	
16.	Special fees required? 🖂 no 🗌 yes; explain:	
17.	Proposed term of first offering: Spring semester of 2009 year.	
	List degrees, certificates, prerequisites, and catalog sections (and their page numbers) affecte	d by this proposal:
		=

by haring

Page 130 MCC Catalog

19.	Maximum enrollment? 35 Rationale, if applicable:		
20.	Special resources (personnel, supplies, etc.) required?	🛛 no 🛭	yes, explain:
21.	Course is restricted to particular room type? capability and instructor computer	no	yes, explain: classroom needs video
22.	Special scheduling consideration?	⊠ no	yes, explain:
23.	Method(s) of delivery appropriate for this course (check at ☐ Traditional ☐ HITS Interactive TV ☐ Cable TV		
24.	Which of the collegewide General Education Academic SI (Check all that apply)	kill Standa	ards (CCOWIQs) does this course support
	2 - Quantitative Reasoning	CriticalCreativi	ty
25 .	Which program SLOs does this course support? (List all th	at apply a	and explain, if necessary.)
	 □ Program SLO 1: Explain: This course supports □ Program SLO 2: Explain: □ Program SLO 3: Explain: □ Program SLO 4: Explain: □ Program SLO 5: Explain: 	the critica	al thinking SLO for all programs.
26.	☐ Course is a requirement for this CTE (Career Technical Course is an elective for this CTE program degree/certixic Course is a general education elective for CTE degrees ☐ Quantitative ☐ English/Communi ☐ Natural Science ☐ Social Science	ificate: , fulfilling	
27.	☐ Category I - Foundations/Skills ☐ English Communication ☐ Computer/Information Processing and Rete ☐ Symbolic Reasoning ☐ Global and Multicultural Perspectives ☐ Category II - Breadth of Understanding and Experi ☐ Human Understanding ☐ The Individual ☐ The Community ☐ Human Expression ☐ Environmental Awareness ☐ Asia/Pacific Perspective ☐ Category III - Focus/Specialization/Area of Interest ☐ Area of Interest Requirement Name: ☐ Cher Graduation Requirements ☐ Writing Intensive ☐ Science Lab	rieval ence	ng Fall 2008)

28.	Course increases decreases makes no change to number of credits required for program(s) affected by this action.
29.	Course is taught at another UH college (go to http://myuh.hawaii.edu/uhdad/bwckctlg.p_disp_dyn_ctlg) In o (Proposed course should use an "open" alpha/no. not used for a different course at other UH colleges). Explain why this course is proposed for MCC: Yes (Proposed course should use the same alpha/no. used for a comparable course at another UH college). Specify college(s), course, alpha, and number: UH Hilo PHIL - 109 - Reasoning
	Course is not appropriate for articulation at: UHCC UH Manoa UH Hilo UH WO Other/PCC articulated* at: UHCC UH Manoa UH Hilo UH WO Other/PCC appropriate for articulation* at: UHCC UH Manoa UH Hilo UH WO Other/PCC *NOTE: Attach Course Articulation Form if course is already articulate to the General Education Core at UH Manoa or if it is appropriate for articulation.

Additional Information:

☐Hawai'i Emphasis

Maui Community College Curriculum Action Request (CAR) Signature Page

12 ////	1 Man 1 17
Proposed by: Author or Program Coordinator	Date
Checked by: Academic Subject Area Representative to Curriculu	11 April '08' um Commlittee Date
Requested by Department: Department Chair	b/ Anay 108 Date
Recommended by: Curriculum Chair	4/22/08 Date
Approved by Academic Senate: Academic Senate Chair	5[6[08] Date
Endorsed by Chief Academic Officer	U U OR Date
Approved by: Chancellor	1/18/0F Date

Maui Community College Course Outline

1.	Alpha and Number	PHIL 109
2.	Course Title	Reasoning and Critical Thinking
	Credits	3
	Date of Outline	Feb. 14, 2008
	ourse Description: Studies informal logic, suse of language. Emphasizes the development	practical reasoning, argument, and the use and ent of critical thinking skills.
3.	Contact Hours/Type	3 hours/lecture
4.	Prerequisites	none
	Corequisites	none
	Recommended Preparation	ENG 100 and ENG 21 or 102
Ap _]	proved by Mhulm	

5. General Course Objectives

Presents techniques for analyzing arguments used in political rhetoric, advertisements, editorials, scientific claims and social commentary. Develops the ability to create and refine written arguments.

See the attached curricular grid for detailed information on how PHIL 109 focuses on the Maui Community College general education standards.

6. Student Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this course, students will be able to:

- a. Identify an argument;
- b. Analyze elements/facts of a specific situation and arguments;
- c. Communicate important elements/issues of an argument or situation;
- d. Gather relevant situational information;
- e. Interpret information effectively relative to the problem at hand;
- f. Establish relevant criteria and standards for acceptable solutions;
- g. Develop alternatives to address criteria;
- h. Clarify assumptions;

2-4 weeks

- i. Predict implications and consequences;
- j. Construct well-reasoned solutions/conclusions;
- k. Support conclusions with arguments and fact; and
- 1. Communicate decisions in writing.
- 7. Recommended Course Content and Approximate Time Spent on Each Topic Linked to # 6. Student Learning Outcomes.

1 week	Ice breaker/get acquainted activity Introduction to the course syllabus including a discussion of course materials, assignments, projects. (a, c, d)
1 -2 weeks	Arguments basics -deductive and inductive distinction (a, b, e, f, i, j, k)
1-2 weeks	Deductive overview (a, b, e, f, g, i, k)
3-6 weeks	Inductive logic and reasoning (a, b, c, d, e, f g, h, i, j, k, l)

Fallacies (a, b, c, e, f, g, i, l)

2-4 weeks Application, analysis and strategies (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l)

8. Text and Materials, Reference Materials, Auxiliary Materials and Content

Appropriate text(s) and materials will be chosen at the time the course is offered from those currently available in the field. Examples include, but are not limited to

Text materials, such as

<u>Discovering Arguments: An Introduction to Critical Thinking and Writing with Readings. 2/e</u> by **Memering & Palmer**

Asking the Right Questions: A Guide to Critical Thinking, 8/e Browne & Keeley

General reference materials, and videos should be made available in the Reserve Room at the MCC Library.

9. Recommended Course Requirements and Evaluation

Specific course requirements are at the discretion of the instructor at the time the course is being offered. Suggested requirements might include, but are not limited to

10 –50%	Written quizzes, midterm(s) and/or a final exam covering lectures, discussions, media presentations, guest speakers, and reading assignments. (a, b, c, d, e, f g, h, i, j, k, l)
20-35%	Projects and reports (a, b, c, d, e, f g, h, i, j, k, l)
20-35%	Presentations on case studies (a, b, c, d, e, f g, h, i, j, k, l)
0-10%	Punctuality, attendance, and participation (d, i)

10. Methods of Instruction

Instructional methods will vary considerably with instructors. Specific methods will be at the discretion of the instructor teaching the course and might include, but are not limited to

quizzes and other tests with feedback and discussion written work lectures and class discussions

problem solving
electronic presentations
videos, DVDs, CD-ROMs with detailed viewing guide and discussion questions
data analysis, and other activities
field trips including field notes
guest speakers and attendance at public lectures
group activities
group presentations
games and simulations
homework assignments such as

reading, or watching, and writing summaries and reactions to ethical issues in the media including broadcast television, newspapers, video, magazines, journals, lectures, web-based material, and other sources; reading text and reference materials and answering discussion questions; researching ethical issues and problems;

web-based assignments and activities reflective journals

group and/ or individual research projects with reports or multimedia presentations

study logs and study groups

Service-Learning, community service, and/or civic engagement projects; and other contemporary learning techniques (such as problem-based learning, investigative case-based learning, co-op, internships, self-paced programs).

Assessment of Intended Student Learning Outcomes Standards – CCOWIQs with Ratings for PHIL 109

Key:

- 3 = Major Emphasis: The student is actively involved (uses, reinforces, applies, and evaluated) in the student learning outcomes. The learner outcome is the focus of the class.
- 2 = Moderate Emphasis: The student uses, reinforces, applies and is evaluated by this learner outcome, but it is not the focus of the class
- 1 = Minor Emphasis: The student is provided an opportunity to use, reinforce, and apply this learner outcome, but does not get evaluated on this learner outcome

0 = No Emphasis: The student does not address this learner outcome

0 = No Emphasis: The student does not address this learner outcome	
	PHII
Standard 1: Written Communication	109
Write effectively to convey ideas that meet the needs of specific audiences and purposes.	
1.1 Use writing to discover and articulate ideas	2
1.2 Identify and analyze the audience and purpose for any intended communication	3
1.3 Choose language, style and organization appropriate to particular purposes and audiences	3
1.4 Gather information and document sources appropriately	1
1.5 Express a main idea as a thesis, hypothesis, and other appropriate content	3
1.6 Develop a main idea clearly and concisely with appropriate content	3
1.7 Demonstrate mastery of the conventions of writing, including grammar, spelling, and mechanics	1
1.8 Demonstrate proficiency in revision and editing	1
1.9 Develop a personal voice in written communication	2
Standard 2: Quantitative Reasoning	
Synthesize and articulate information using appropriate mathematical methods to solve problems and logically address real-life situations.	1
2.1 Apply numeric, graphic and symbolic skills and other forms of quantitative reasoning, accurately and appropriately	0
2.2 Demonstrate mastery of mathematical concepts, skills, and applications, using technology when appropriate	0
2.3 Communicate clearly and concisely the methods and results of quantitative problem solving	0
2.4 Formulate and test hypotheses using numerical experimentation	1
2.5 Define quantitative issues and problems, gather relevant information, analyze that information, and present results	2
2.6 Assess the validity of statistical conclusions	2
Standard 3: Information Retrieval and Technology (Information Literacy)	
Access, evaluate, and utilize information effectively, ethically and responsibly.	1
3.1 Use print and electronic information technology ethically and responsibly	ļ
3.2 Demonstrate knowledge of basic vocabulary, concepts, and operations of information technology and retrieval	2
3.3 Recognize, identify, and define an information need	1
3.4 Access and retrieve information through print and electronic media, evaluating the accuracy and authenticity of that	2
information	2
3.5 Create, manage, organize, and communicate information through electronic media	3
3.6 Recognize changing technologies and make informed choices about their appropriateness and use.	I
Standard 4: Oral Communication	1
Practice ethical and responsible oral communications appropriate to a variety of audiences and purposes.	
4.1 Identify and analyze the audience and purpose of any intended communication.	3
4.0 Gather, evaluate, select, and organize information for the communication.	3
4.3 Use language, techniques, and strategies appropriate to the audience and occasion.	3
4.4 Speak clearly and confidently, using the voice, volume, tone, and articulation appropriate to the audience and occasion	1
4.5 Summarize, analyze, and evaluate oral communications and ask coherent questions as needed.	3
4.6 Use competent oral expression to initiate and sustain discussion.	1
Standard 5: Critical Thinking	
Apply critical reasoning skills to effectively address the challenges and solve problems.	
5.1 Identify and state problems, issues, arguments, and questions contained in a body of information.	3
5.0 Identify and analyze assumptions and underlying points of view relating to an issue or problem.	3
5.3 Formulate research questions that require descriptive and explanatory analyses.	3
5.4 Recognize and understand multiple modes of inquiry, including investigative methods based on observation and analysis.	3
5.5 Evaluate a problem, distinguishing between relevant and irrelevant facts, opinions, assumptions, issues, values, and biases through the use of appropriate evidence.	
	3
5.6 Apply problem-solving techniques and skills, including the rules of logic and logical sequence.	3
5.7 Synthesize information from various sources, drawing appropriate conclusions.	3
5.8 Communicate clearly and concisely the methods and results of logical reasoning.	3
5.9 Reflect upon and evaluate their thought processes, value system, and world views in comparison to those of others.	3